

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/604,891	08/25/2003 Takuji TANAKA		031032	1890
	38834 7	7590 07/21/2006		EXAMINER	
	WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			LEE, EUGENE	
	1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2815	
				DATE MAILED: 07/21/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/604,891	TANAKA, TAKUJI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eugene Lee	2815		

		Eugene Lee	2815	·					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
I. 🔯 T tl p a	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or ones application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant me periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) 🛭	The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
nave be under 3 set forth may rec NOTIC	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ten filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) IE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,					
f	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since					
	<u>DMENTS</u>								
(	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) $\square$ They raise new issues that would require further cob) $\square$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);						
(	c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(	d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.						
<b>₄</b> □	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See S7 CFR 1.1)	121 See attached Notice of Non-C	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)					
5. 🗌	<ul> <li>☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>								
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	Illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendmo	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).  7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explain how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
(	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 13-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
ŀ	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good arwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	ivit or other evidence i	s necessary and					
•	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appory ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.					
	The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:					
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper							
13. 🗌	Other:	P	EUGENE LEE RIMARY EXAMINER						
			do K						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitations in claims 1, 13, and 14 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.